

Agenda

Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee

Date: **Monday 23 October 2023**

Time: **2.00 pm**

Place: **Conference Room 1, Herefordshire Council Offices,
Plough Lane, Hereford, HR4 0LE**

Notes: Please note the time, date and venue of the meeting.

For any further information please contact:

Ben Baugh, Democratic Services Officer

Tel: 01432 261882

Email: ben.baugh2@herefordshire.gov.uk

If you would like help to understand this document, or would like it in another format, please call Ben Baugh, Democratic Services Officer on 01432 261882 or e-mail ben.baugh2@herefordshire.gov.uk in advance of the meeting.

Agenda for the meeting of the Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee

Membership

Chairperson **Councillor Ellie Chowns**
Vice-chairperson **Councillor Ed O'Driscoll**

Councillor Bruce Baker
Councillor Frank Cornthwaite
Councillor David Hitchiner
Councillor Ben Proctor
Councillor Rob Williams

Agenda

		Pages
1.	<p>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</p> <p>To receive apologies for absence.</p>	
2.	<p>NAMED SUBSTITUTES</p> <p>To receive details of councillors nominated to attend the meeting in place of a member of the committee.</p>	
3.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</p> <p>To receive declarations of interest in respect of items on the agenda.</p>	
4.	<p>MINUTES</p> <p>To receive the minutes of the meeting held on 19 July 2023.</p> <p>HOW TO SUBMIT QUESTIONS</p> <p>The deadline for the submission of questions for this meeting is 9.30 am on Wednesday 18 October 2023.</p> <p>Questions must be submitted to councillorservices@herefordshire.gov.uk. Questions sent to any other address may not be accepted.</p> <p>Accepted questions and the responses will be published as a supplement to the agenda papers prior to the meeting. Further information and guidance is available at www.herefordshire.gov.uk/getinvolved</p>	13 - 22
5.	<p>QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC</p> <p>To receive any written questions from members of the public.</p>	
6.	<p>QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>To receive any written questions from members of the council.</p>	
7.	<p>PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF THE FORTHCOMING CABINET DECISION ON 'REVIEW OF THE FULL BUSINESS CASE FOR THE SHIREHALL AS A LOCATION FOR THE FUTURE OF HEREFORD CITY LIBRARY'</p> <p>To scrutinise both the full business case for Shirehall as a location for the Hereford Library and Learning Centre (HLLC) and the full business case for the HLLC at Maylord Orchards.</p>	To Follow
8.	<p>WORK PROGRAMME</p> <p>This report provides the Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee with its draft work programme until May 2024.</p>	23 - 28
9.	<p>DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING</p> <p>Wednesday 8 November 2023 10.00 am</p>	

The public's rights to information and attendance at meetings

In view of the continued prevalence of Covid, we have introduced changes to our usual procedures for accessing public meetings. These will help to keep our councillors, staff and members of the public safe.

Please take time to read the latest guidance on the council website by following the link at www.herefordshire.gov.uk/meetings and support us in promoting a safe environment for everyone. If you have any queries please contact the governance support team on 01432 261699 or at governancesupportteam@herefordshire.gov.uk

We will review and update this guidance in line with Government advice and restrictions.

Thank you for your help in keeping Herefordshire Council meetings safe.

You have a right to:

- Attend all council, cabinet, committee and sub-committee meetings unless the business to be transacted would disclose 'confidential' or 'exempt' information.
- Inspect agenda and public reports at least five clear days before the date of the meeting. Agenda and reports (relating to items to be considered in public) are available at www.herefordshire.gov.uk/meetings
- Inspect minutes of the council and all committees and sub-committees and written statements of decisions taken by the cabinet or individual cabinet members for up to six years following a meeting.
- Inspect background papers used in the preparation of public reports for a period of up to four years from the date of the meeting (a list of the background papers to a report is given at the end of each report). A background paper is a document on which the officer has relied in writing the report and which otherwise is not available to the public.
- Access to a public register stating the names, addresses and wards of all councillors with details of the membership of cabinet and of all committees and sub-committees. Information about councillors is available at www.herefordshire.gov.uk/councillors
- Have access to a list specifying those powers on which the council have delegated decision making to their officers identifying the officers concerned by title. The council's constitution is available at www.herefordshire.gov.uk/constitution
- Access to this summary of your rights as members of the public to attend meetings of the council, cabinet, committees and sub-committees and to inspect documents.

Recording of meetings

Please note that filming, photography and recording of this meeting is permitted provided that it does not disrupt the business of the meeting.

Members of the public are advised that if you do not wish to be filmed or photographed you should let the governance services team know before the meeting starts so that anyone who intends filming or photographing the meeting can be made aware.

The reporting of meetings is subject to the law and it is the responsibility of those doing the reporting to ensure that they comply.

The council may make a recording of this public meeting or stream it live to the council's website. Such recordings are made available for members of the public via the council's YouTube channel at www.youtube.com/user/HerefordshireCouncil/videos

Public transport links

The Herefordshire Council office at Plough Lane is located off Whitecross Road in Hereford, approximately 1 kilometre from the City Bus Station.

The location of the office and details of city bus services can be viewed at:
www.herefordshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/1597/hereford-city-bus-map-local-services-

The seven principles of public life

(Nolan Principles)

1. Selflessness

Holders of public office should act solely in terms of the public interest.

2. Integrity

Holders of public office must avoid placing themselves under any obligation to people or organisations that might try inappropriately to influence them in their work. They should not act or take decisions in order to gain financial or other material benefits for themselves, their family, or their friends. They must declare and resolve any interests and relationships.

3. Objectivity

Holders of public office must act and take decisions impartially, fairly and on merit, using the best evidence and without discrimination or bias.

4. Accountability

Holders of public office are accountable to the public for their decisions and actions and must submit themselves to the scrutiny necessary to ensure this.

5. Openness

Holders of public office should act and take decisions in an open and transparent manner. Information should not be withheld from the public unless there are clear and lawful reasons for so doing.

6. Honesty

Holders of public office should be truthful.

7. Leadership

Holders of public office should exhibit these principles in their own behaviour and treat others with respect. They should actively promote and robustly support the principles and challenge poor behaviour wherever it occurs.

Guide to Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee

Scrutiny is a statutory role fulfilled by councillors who are not members of the cabinet.

The role of the scrutiny committees is to help develop policy, to carry out reviews of council and other local services, and to hold decision makers to account for their actions and decisions.

Council has decided that there will be five scrutiny committees. The committees reflect the balance of political groups on the council.

The connected communities scrutiny committee consists of 7 councillors.

Councillor	Party
Ellie Chowns (Chairperson)	The Green Party
Ed O'Driscoll (Vice-Chairperson)	Liberal Democrats
Bruce Baker	Conservative Party
Frank Cornthwaite	Conservative Party
David Hitchiner	Independents for Herefordshire
Ben Proctor	Liberal Democrats
Rob Williams	Conservative Party

Scrutiny functions

The committees have the power:

- (a) to review, influence policy or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge of any functions which are the responsibility of the executive,
- (b) to make reports or recommendations to the authority or the executive with respect to the discharge of any functions which are the responsibility of the executive,
- (c) to review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge of any functions which are not the responsibility of the executive,
- (d) to make reports or recommendations to council or the cabinet with respect to the discharge of any functions which are not the responsibility of the executive,
- (e) to make reports or recommendations to council or the cabinet on matters which affect the authority's area or the inhabitants of that area
- (f) to review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge by the responsible authorities of their crime and disorder functions and to make reports or recommendations to the council with respect to the discharge of those functions. In this regard crime and disorder functions means:
 - (i) a strategy for the reduction of crime and disorder in the area (including anti-social and other behaviour adversely affecting the local environment); and

- (ii) a strategy for combatting the misuse of drugs, alcohol and other substances in the area; and
 - (iii) a strategy for the reduction of re-offending in the area
- (g) to review and scrutinise any matter relating to the planning, provision and operation of the health service in its area and make reports and recommendations to a responsible person on any matter it has reviewed or scrutinised or to be consulted by a relevant NHS body or health service provider in accordance with the Regulations (2013/218) as amended. In this regard *health service* includes services designed to secure improvement -
- (i) in the physical and mental health of the people of England, and
 - (ii) in the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of physical and mental illness
 - (iii) and any services provided in pursuance of arrangements under section 75 in relation to the exercise of health-related functions of a local authority.
- (h) to review and scrutinise the exercise by risk management authorities of flood risk management functions or coastal erosion risk management functions which may affect the local authority's area.
- (i) To track actions and undertake an annual effectiveness review

The remit of Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee

- Talk Business programme, advice and support
- Development investment plans - town, market town, rural, Hereford City
- Hereford Enterprise Zone
- Higher education development
- Adult and community learning programme
- Apprenticeships
- Fastershire programme
- Digital connectivity
- Heritage, culture and tourism
- Social value procurement policy
- Planning
- Licensing
- Regulatory
- Capital highway maintenance, asset management and infrastructure repair
- Council housing
- Statutory community safety and policing scrutiny powers

Who attends scrutiny committee meetings?

- Members of the committee, including the chairperson and vice-chairperson.
- Cabinet members, they are not members of the committee but attend principally to answer any questions the committee may have and inform the debate.
- Officers of the council to present reports and give technical advice to the committee.
- People external to the council invited to provide information to the committee.
- Other councillors can attend but can only speak at the discretion of the chairperson.

Minutes of the meeting of the Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee held in Herefordshire Council Offices, Plough Lane, Hereford, HR4 0LE on Wednesday 19 July 2023 at 10.00 am

Committee members present in person and voting: Councillors: Bruce Baker, Ellie Chowns (Chairperson), Frank Cornthwaite, David Hitchiner, Ed O'Driscoll (Vice-Chairperson), Ben Proctor and Allan Williams

Others in attendance: R Allonby (Service Director Economy and Growth), B Baugh (Democratic Services Officer), Councillor G Biggs (Cabinet Member Economy and Growth), Councillor H Bramer (Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets), R Cook (Corporate Director - Economy and Environment), Councillor C Gennard (Central Ward), R Hart (Head of Strategic Finance), D Jones (Programme Manager), S Jowett (Strategic Assets Delivery Director), Councillor J Lester (Leader of the Council), A Pitt (Director of Strategy and Performance), Councillor P Price (Cabinet Member Transport and Infrastructure), A Rees-Glinos (Democratic Services Support Officer), Councillor P Stoddart (Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services), D Webb (Statutory Scrutiny Officer) and S White (Programme Manager)

26. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence had been received from Councillor Rob Williams.

27. NAMED SUBSTITUTES

Councillor Allan Williams was present as the substitute for Councillor Rob Williams.

28. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations of interest were made.

29. MINUTES

The minutes of the previous meeting were received. The Chairperson advised that a few minor textual matters of accuracy had been identified and would be addressed following the meeting.

Resolved:

That, subject to minor textual adjustments, the minutes of the meeting held on 13 February 2023 be confirmed as a correct record and be signed by the Chairperson.

30. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC (Pages 9 - 10)

A document containing questions received from members of the public and the responses given, plus a supplementary question and the response, is attached at Appendix 1 to the minutes.

31. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

No questions had been received from councillors.

32. PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF THE FORTHCOMING CABINET DECISION ON REVIEW OF NEW HEREFORD LIBRARY AND LEARNING RESOURCE CENTRE LOCATION

The Chairperson advised that the purpose of this item was to undertake pre-decision scrutiny ahead of the Cabinet meeting on 20 July 2023 and drew attention to the council's 'Principles of decision making'.

The Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets opening comments included: officers were commended for the team effort on this matter; there was an opportunity to bring the Shirehall back into use; and there was the potential for the library and learning centre and for the museum and art gallery to become world-class facilities.

The key topics and lines of questioning are summarised below.

Consultation

1. The consultation undertaken with the Herefordshire Cultural Partnership was discussed. The Vice-Chairperson expressed a concern about the potential impact of the creation of a performance space at the Shirehall on the business models of existing commercial operators. The Director of Strategy and Performance outlined the information provided to the Herefordshire Cultural Partnership and advised that stakeholders would be engaged further as part of the development of the full business case.
2. On the perceived benefits of the Shirehall location, the Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets commented that the Shirehall was an iconic building and could provide around twice the space. The Chairperson highlighted an inconsistency between the space figures referenced and those provided in the published report (674.3 square metres for Maylord Orchards and 861.98 square metres for the Shirehall). The Service Director Economy and Growth explained that the disparity related to the atrium space at Maylord Orchards.
3. In terms of the learning from the consultation with stakeholders, the Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets advised that the chairperson of the library user group had expressed a preference for the Shirehall location. The Director of Strategy and Performance said that positive comments had been made by other stakeholders about the re-use of the historic building.
4. The Chairperson considered it surprising that no background papers had been identified in the covering report given the apparent reliance on other information in the preparation of the papers.

Maylord Orchards

5. Questioned on the intended plan for Maylord Orchards, the Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets commented on the ongoing use of a unit by the Department for Work and Pensions and on the demand for retail and commercial space. The Chairperson questioned the statement that 'Our appointed agents inform us however, that we are performing very well against the national picture' (paragraph 11, agenda page 32) given the number of units that were currently vacant. The Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets commented on expressions of interest received in recent months. The Cabinet Member Economy and Growth accepted that the council needed to do better in terms of managing the commercial space. The Service Director Economy and Growth commented that

the retail sector had been more resilient than projections had indicated, and the strategic review had identified that the bringing the Shirehall back into use would generate an increase in footfall.

6. In response to a question, the Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services confirmed that capital budget had been allocated to improvement works to Maylord Orchards.
7. Comments were made about the potential for the Stronger Towns projects to regenerate the city centre and to attract visitors.

Costs

8. With attention drawn to paragraphs 36 and 37 of the covering report (agenda page 35), the Chairperson noted the costs already incurred of £684k, plus at least £86k and potentially up to a further £608k for demobilisation costs. In response to questions, the Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services commented: that survey work undertaken would inform the improvement works to Maylord Orchards; on potential funding allocations through the capital programme; and that the review provided a longer-term vision.
9. Referring to the paper 'Shirehall Design Strategic Review July 23' circulated in a supplement the evening before this meeting, the Chairperson challenged a comment that the Shirehall project was fully costed, particularly given the lack of a breakdown. The Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services said that the costs would be refined and firmed up as part of the full business case. The Cabinet Member advised that the same technical consultants had provided the estimated costs for the refurbishment of the Shirehall to Cabinet in November 2022 (£7.5m) and the estimated costs of a reduced scope project (£3.641m).
10. The Chairperson commented that the bare minimum costs to bring the building back into operation, as provided to Cabinet in November 2022, were significantly higher than the new estimated costs, and that the new and much lower estimate was very surprising given the sharp increase in construction costs in the intervening period. The Service Director Economy and Growth advised that the reduced scope project focussed on the works necessary to open the building for use as the library and learning centre, and omitted non-essential elements and works to the courts. Comments by the Strategic Assets Delivery Director included: the different briefs of the previous administration and of the current administration; the desktop exercise that had been undertaken, including adjustments to inflation; and the next steps in the development of the full business case.
11. The Chairperson drew attention to the sentence 'The figures included below are for information only and are not intended to inform the decision to select a new location for the Library and Learning Centre to be considered by Cabinet on 20 July 2023' (supplement page 5) and questioned what financial information would be used to inform the decision. The Leader of the Council welcomed the exploration of these matters through the Political Group Consultation and at this committee, noted that estimates had been provided but a full business case was needed, and commented on the importance of achieving the best outcomes for council assets.
12. The Chairperson noted that the 'Criteria for review' included 'Value for Money' and 'Financial Viability' (agenda page 66) but the paper 'Strategic Review of Hereford Library and Learning Centre Location – July 2023' (from agenda page 41) did not include these headings and it was considered that the review only addressed two of the eight bullet points set out under the 'Financial Viability' criteria, e.g. it did not

address 'Capital requirements to bring the site into use as a city library and learning centre' or 'Ongoing revenue requirements for operating the site as a city library and learning centre'. Therefore, it was questioned how the review could be considered to provide adequate information to enable Cabinet to take a decision. The Leader commented that there would be capital requirements to bring the Shirehall back into use and the full business case would inform final decisions.

13. A committee member highlighted that the paper 'Shirehall Design Strategic Review July 23' identified that 'the consultants were asked to estimate high level expected costs' but there was no reference to the likely additional costs of conversion into a library and learning centre, or for the other potential uses identified in the review. The Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services said that conversion into a library and learning centre would come from the Stronger Towns funding of £3m and the Leader of the Council said that the design work would determine how much the refit would cost.

14. In response to a question, the Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services said that match funding for the Stronger Towns funding would come from the value of the Shirehall, together with funding for capital works. It was reported that the current value of the Shirehall was £7.551m*.

[*Note: On 20 July 2023, the Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services advised that this information was incorrect, the valuation provided was for Maylord Orchards and not for the Shirehall. The current valuation of the Shirehall was reported as £0.519m].

15. The Service Director Economy and Growth advised that the Stronger Towns Board had indicated a willingness to make the full amount of grant available to this project, subject to delivering identified outputs.

16. The Vice-Chairperson considered that there was an imbalance in the detail provided and suggested that it would be pragmatic to wait until the information was evenly balanced for both sites before any decision was taken to cancel the Maylord Orchards project. The Leader of the Council commented on the reasons for the pause on the decision to relocate to the Maylord Orchards site and the potential benefits of locating the library and learning centre within the Shirehall and re-iterated the importance of the full business case.

17. Questioned further by a committee member about putting the decision about Maylord Orchards on hold pending the full business case for the Shirehall option, the Leader of the Council noted that this point had also been raised during the Political Group Consultation and Cabinet would reflect on this at its meeting on 20 July 2023.

18. A committee member commented that the potential additional costs of an extended pause should be evaluated.

19. In response to a question from a committee member, the Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets considered that the library could be located at the Shirehall in perpetuity. The Leader of the Council commented that Maylord Orchards was a commercial centre and there was a need to use it for the best retail or commercial purposes.

20. Noting the comment by the Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services that there was only a Letter of Intent with the contractor, the Vice-Chairperson questioned whether this lessened the urgency to cancel the Maylord Orchards option. The Cabinet Member commented on the need to maintain good

commercial relationships and the Service Director Economy and Growth provided an overview of the current position.

21. The Chairperson summarised the findings to this point, including: the significant uncertainty regarding the costs of proceeding with the proposed Shirehall project; the need for the costs to be worked out for the full business case to enable comparisons of the options to be made on a like for like basis; and noted that the pause on the decision to relocate to the Maylord Orchards site had incurred additional costs but the benefits of retaining this as an option could be significant if the costs of relocating to the Shirehall were found to be prohibitive.

[Note: There was a short adjournment before the next topic].

Risks

22. A committee member commented that some of the risks / opportunities identified in the 'Risk Management' section of the Cabinet report (agenda page 37), such as 'We are confident that the library refurbishment will be delivered within the financial envelope' and 'Any installation of library and learning centre to the Shirehall will be subject to refurbishment and renovation of the wider Shirehall building', were not statements of risk, and sought clarification on the most significant risks. The Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets emphasised that the administration was committed to the provision of a library. The Leader of the Council said that the biggest overall risk was choosing the wrong place to locate the library and learning centre, and the most significant risk to the Shirehall project related to funding, particularly securing the transfer of Stronger Towns grant funding. The Chairperson expressed a concern that there was insufficient information upon which to base a decision on location at this stage.
23. In response to a question from a committee member, the Director of Strategy and Performance outlined the temporary arrangements for the provision of library services following the recent closure of the existing library at Broad Street to enable its redevelopment into a modern museum and art gallery. In response to a question later in the meeting, the Director said that consideration could be given to extended opening hours, but residents could access library resources online and could apply for the home delivery service. On behalf of the committee, the Chairperson commended all those involved in the museum and art gallery project.
24. The committee briefly discussed the importance of objectivity and the need for decisions to be supported by clear evidence.
25. The Service Director Economy and Growth clarified that the risk, 'We are confident that the library refurbishment will be delivered within the financial envelope', was about whether the library and learning centre element could be delivered within the scope of the Stronger Towns grant, and it was believed that it could be.
26. In response to questions from the Chairperson: the Cabinet Member Economy and Growth said the Stronger Towns Board had given an indication that it would support the submission of a project adjustment report to the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities for the transfer of funds, as long as the outputs were met; the Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services said that the review had identified that the outputs would be achieved or enhanced; the Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services said that there was confidence about the transfer of funds but, if this was not achieved, then any shortfall would need be part of the full business case; and the Cabinet Member Economy and Growth advised that Stronger Towns funding was to be spent by Spring 2025.

Timelines and deliverability

27. The Chairperson drew attention to paragraph 15 of the Cabinet report (agenda page 32) which identified ‘... completion of the project estimated as autumn 2025’ and paragraph 20 of the Strategic Review (agenda page 47) which identified ‘Shirehall completion would be currently estimated July 2025...’. The Service Director Economy and Growth said that the projected timelines were based on the desktop exercise and would be subject to review as part of the full business case, with project completion anticipated in July 2025. The committee was advised that the Stronger Towns funding could be spent first, with council funding used to finish the project. In response to further questions, the Service Director commented that officers would need to work with technical experts on the phasing of the works required to open the building as part of the full business case. The committee was advised that the Stronger Towns funding could contribute towards the total set of costs.
28. The Chairperson questioned whether the indicative task timelines provided in the Gantt chart ‘Shirehall High Level Feasibility’ (agenda page 74) were realistic, particularly in comparison to those provided for the ‘Maylord Programme’ (agenda page 75). The Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets said that the timelines had been informed by the advice of technical consultants. The Chairperson commented that, in view of experiences with other projects, there was the potential for project overrun which could pose significant financial risks for the council. The Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services acknowledged that the timelines were challenging and would require commitment, but the piece of work was not a ‘ground-up’ design, it would involve taking existing work and refining it. The Chairperson noted that new design work would be required for the fitting out of the library and learning centre. The Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services commented on the potential to use a greater proportion of the Stronger Towns funding on the fit out at the Shirehall than would be the case at Maylord Orchards. The Chairperson highlighted that this information had not been provided as part of the papers for this meeting and there was a need to address this in the full business case.
29. With attention drawn to the paragraph ‘Wider works would be required to the Shirehall before the library could be installed, to address the structural defects within the building. Work carried out in preparation of this review demonstrates that these wider works would not be a barrier to the installation of the library...’ (agenda page 48), the Chairperson considered these statements to be mutually incompatible. In response, the Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets said that not all the works to the building needed to be completed before the library element could be commenced. The Chairperson commented on the need for clarity about the works in order to inform a more detailed Gantt chart. The Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services re-iterated that advice had been received from technical consultants and these matters would be explored further in the full business case.
30. The Chairperson noted that a range of assurances had been provided around funding and timelines, but concerns remained about the significant financial risks, and did not consider that these matters were adequately explored in the report to the Cabinet.
31. In response to a question from a committee member about the library design, the Director of Strategy and Performance outlined the engagement undertaken with stakeholders as part of the Maylord Orchards project and the Service Director Economy and Growth commented on the involvement of specialists which would inform the development of the full business case for the Shirehall.

Suitability of the Shirehall

32. The Chairperson noted the intention to provide a 'modern, accessible learning centre' at the Shirehall (paragraph 13, agenda page 32) but said that there were crucial accessibility issues. A concern was expressed that the Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) Form to assess the 'suitability of the Undercroft and Assembly Hall at Shire Hall being the location for a new Hereford Library' had only been circulated shortly before the start of the meeting.
33. Given the identified 'gravitas of the historic building' and other similar comments that had been made by cabinet members during the meeting, the Chairperson questioned whether the Shirehall would be the ideal location for a public service that needed to reach out to all sections of the population. Responses from the executive members included: the Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets said that professional design and fitting out could make the Shirehall into a welcoming space; the Leader of the Council commented that the building was a significant historic and cultural asset which should be showcased and celebrated; and the Cabinet Member Finance and Corporate Services felt that the use of the building as a library and learning centre could be inspirational.
34. A committee member commented that the EIA Form provided was relevant to a future decision in relation to the Shirehall but the recommendation being made to Cabinet that 'The decision to relocate the Library and Learning Centre to the Maylord Orchards site is cancelled' (agenda page 30) was not supported by an EIA Form currently.
35. The Leader of the Council confirmed that a decision by Full Council would be required if there were any resulting changes to the approved capital programme.
36. The Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets considered that it would be possible to heat the Shirehall adequately through the replacement of the heating system.
37. In response to a question about decarbonisation, the Leader of the Council said that all buildings had to meet a range of objectives and standards in the longer term. The Chairperson noted the council's existing commitment to become net zero carbon by 2030 and said that the authority should take the opportunity to bring buildings into as good a state of energy efficiency as possible, particularly during refurbishment works. A committee member added that this could have positive implications for future running costs.
38. There was a brief discussion about potential measures to improve the acoustics in the Assembly Hall.
39. The Chairperson commented on inconsistencies in the report in terms of the requirements for planning permission and listed building consent. The Leader of the Council explained that any alterations to the historic or architectural significance of the building would require consent, but officers had advised that a change of use would not be required for the use of the Shirehall as a library and learning centre. The Service Director Economy and Growth added that the Gantt chart 'Shirehall High Level Feasibility' made provision for obtaining necessary consents.

At the conclusion of the debate, the committee discussed findings and agreed outline recommendations to the executive. In consultation with the Chairperson, the wording of

the recommendations was refined following the meeting and submitted for consideration by Cabinet at its meeting on 20 July 2023.

Resolved: That it be recommended to the executive that:

- a) **Recommendations a) and b) in the Cabinet report be removed and the Maylords project paused rather than cancelled, pending the provision of a full business case for the Shirehall option, to ensure that the full capital and revenue cost implications are worked out, and to enable proper comparison of the two options, thus ensuring that adequate information is available to inform a decision that carries significant financial risks.**
- b) **Detailed consideration is given in the full business case for the proposed Shirehall development in relation to:**
 - **Decarbonisation of the Shirehall, in line with the council’s existing commitment to become net zero carbon by 2030;**
 - **Clarifying the potential for expanding and enhancing the services provided, including providing indicative costings and indicating potential funding sources; and**
 - **Identifying and addressing potential impacts of any expanded and enhanced facilities and services, such as performance space, on commercial operators offering similar services to those envisaged at the Shirehall.**
- c) **The full business case addresses all of the ‘Criteria for Review’ points identified in the ‘Scope of Review of New Hereford Library and Learning Resource Centre Location’, with particular attention to ensuring that the criteria on Value for Money and Financial Viability are given adequate attention, given the concerning lack of information on these aspects in the report before Cabinet on 20 July 2023.**
- d) **Scrutiny is supported to ensure that the full evidence base underpinning future reports, especially financial information, is published in sufficient time; and that requests for information from scrutiny committee members are responded to in good time.**
- e) **An adequate Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) is produced in respect of the potential decision to terminate the Maylord Orchards capital project.**

33. DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

Committee meeting dates for the remainder of the municipal year were noted, as follows:

~~Wednesday 13 September 2023 10.00 am*~~

[*Note: The September 2023 meeting was rescheduled to [Monday 23 October 2023 2.00 pm](#)].

[Wednesday 8 November 2023 10.00 am](#)

[Wednesday 10 January 2024 10.00 am](#)

[Wednesday 6 March 2024 10.00 am](#)

[Wednesday 8 May 2024 10.00 am](#)

The meeting ended at 1.10 pm

Chairperson

Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee: Questions from members of the public and the responses, plus a supplementary question and the response

19 July 2023

Question

From Nina Shields, Ledbury

- i. I would like to submit a question about the review of the location for Hereford Library.

What account has been taken of the impact on High Town if Maylords is returned to being retail premises?

- ii. With regard to the review of the location of Hereford Library, Ledbury Library Development Group would like to ask the following question.

Additional services were to be housed in Maylords, adjacent to the library. Where will they be located if the plan to locate the library in Shire Hall goes ahead?

- iii. With regard to the location of Hereford Library, Sustainable Ledbury welcomes the repurposing of existing buildings but has the following question.

We understand that Shire Hall is in a state of poor repair. What are the Council's plans to repair the building and make it energy efficient and how much will this cost?

Response to Question

By Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets

- i. The strategic review has considered both locations in detail against the set criteria. With the recommendation of the library moving to the Shirehall this will enable Maylord Orchards to continue to successfully operate commercial and retail units and to create new opportunities at the heart of the city centre. Since the purchase of Maylord Orchards the council has endeavoured to ensure that the centre is run effectively and it is noted that there is a strong interest and demand for the units with the centre becoming a vibrant community centre and a key player in regenerating the city centre. Footfall figures, although not expressly captured at Maylord Orchards, are obtained from Business Improvement District and indicate that from March-end June we are already seeing a circa 44,000 increase in visits; a 50% rise on the previous period last year. Our appointed agents inform us that we are performing very well against the national picture.
- ii. The Learning Resource Centre was to be located on the first floor of Maylord Orchards. The suggested location for this is now within the Undercroft area of Shirehall. The space is comparatively larger with further rooms available. This could increase the provision to potentially include areas such as a Maker Space and Sensory Room. The Shirehall is significantly larger and will not only meet the needs of the library but also provide flexible space to be used for wider events and activities such as refreshment facilities, civil ceremonies, weddings, registration of births, poetry readings, exhibitions, TED talks, business breakfasts, film screenings, health and wellbeing clinics etc. (subject to available future funding and any necessary consents).
- iii. The previous cabinet made a decision in November 2022 to delay a formal position on the future of the Shirehall, pending a Corporate Asset Review that is being undertaken. If the cabinet paper is approved on 20th July 2023 to agree the principle of the Library and Learning Centre relocating to Shirehall, then a detailed business plan will be developed.

Prior to the report in November 2022, many building surveys were undertaken to understand the failings of the building and to provide a cost estimate of likely repair. Carbon reduction formed a part of this and where possible, measures designed in. The cost estimate for a full refurbishment (significantly in excess of repairs only), as agreed by the previous cabinet, was noted in the November 2022 report as £7.5m. A recent report prepared to inform this review has identified that repair costs to be circa £3.6m.

With the new, proposed use of Shirehall by the Library and Learning Centre, the design will be revisited and re-costed. A full business plan will be developed for consideration by the cabinet in October, setting out the costs of the project.

Supplementary Question

From Nina Shields, Ledbury

Unfortunately I cannot attend the meeting but I would like to submit the following supplementary question to part ii:

With the adult library and children's library planned to be two floors apart, with the subsequent potential for safeguarding issues, will the library team be increased in number to adequately cover both areas?

Response to Supplementary Question

By Cabinet Member Community Services and Assets

A design for the library within the Shirehall has not yet been developed, however the staffing establishment will need to be designed around the agreed service model and the member of the public can be assured that the new library will be adequately staffed as necessary.



Title of report: Work Programme

Meeting: Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee

Meeting date: Monday 23 October 2023

Report by: Statutory Scrutiny Officer

Classification

Open

Decision type

This is not an executive decision

Wards affected

(All Wards);

Purpose

This report provides the Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee with its draft work programme until May 2024.

Recommendation(s)

That the committee:

- a) agree its draft work programme;**
- b) agrees any additions to or deletions from the work programme; and**
- c) identifies the people and organisations it wishes to include in its work programme activity, and the data and other evidence required to carry out effective scrutiny.**

Alternative options

1. The committee could decline to agree a work programme. This would mean that the committee was reliant on opportunistic items being considered in meetings and would reduce the ability of the committee to add value to council priorities. It is therefore not a recommended option.

Key considerations

2. Work programming is a crucial element of effective scrutiny. Planning a scrutiny's work programme ensures that the committee:
 - a. considers topics that are a priority for the council and where scrutiny can add value to the decision-making process
 - b. schedules topics so that the committee considers them at the right time
 - c. determines who to speak to in the course of its works
 - d. identifies the evidence required to carry out its work and
 - e. provides officers and organisations with sufficient notice and detail to support scrutiny well.

Community impact

3. In accordance with the adopted code of corporate governance, Herefordshire Council is committed to promoting a positive working culture that accepts, and encourages constructive challenge, and recognises that a culture and structure for scrutiny are key elements for accountable decision making, policy development and review. Topics selected for scrutiny should have regard to what matters to residents.

Environmental impact

4. Herefordshire Council provides and purchases a wide range of services for the people of Herefordshire. Together with partner organisations in the private, public and voluntary sectors we share a strong commitment to improving our environmental sustainability, achieving carbon neutrality and to protect and enhance Herefordshire's outstanding natural environment.
5. While this is a decision on the future work of the committee, and will have minimal environmental impacts, consideration has been made to minimise waste and resource use in line with the Council's Environmental Policy. For example, the committee meets online wherever possible in order to minimise car travel to Herefordshire Council offices.

Equality duty

6. Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, the 'general duty' on public authorities is set out as follows:

A public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to

- a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;
 - b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
 - c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.
6. The public sector equality duty (specific duty) requires us to consider how we can positively contribute to the advancement of equality and good relations, and demonstrate that we are paying 'due regard' in our decision making in the design of policies and in the delivery of services. The impact on council equality duties will therefore arise when the committee undertake this work programme.

Resource implications

7. This report is produced as part of the regular business of the scrutiny function. There are therefore no resource implications in considering this report.
8. The topics that the committee includes in its work programme may result in resource implications for the committee and the wider scrutiny function. Any decision to carry out additional meetings, briefings or task and finish groups on specific topics are likely to increase the burden of resource onto Governance Services.
9. In considering a topic as part of its work, the committee may make recommendations to the council or NHS. Both of these organisations are required to respond to scrutiny recommendations and may accordingly choose to accept and implement these recommendations. Although the impact on resources of any recommendation may be discussed in the course of a scrutiny committee meeting, it is up to the officer or services proposing to implement a recommendation to identify and report resource implications to any decision maker.

Legal implications

10. Section 21 of the Local Government Act 2000 provides the framework of review of both executive and council decisions but also enables overview and scrutiny to make recommendations and reports on matters that affect the Council's area or its residents.

Risk management

11. There are no specific risks inherent in considering this report.

Consultees

12. The author of this report has involved the relevant scrutiny committee in producing this work programme, who have done so in partnership with officers of the council and members of the public.

Appendices

Appendix 1 - Committee work programme

Background papers

None identified

Connected Communities Scrutiny Committee draft work programme

23 October 2023 report publication deadline 13 October 2023

Topic and Objectives	Evidence required	Attendees*
<p>Pre-decision scrutiny of the business case for Shirehall as a location for Hereford City Library</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review the business case due to be presented to Cabinet later in the week. - Make recommendations on the options to be presented to Cabinet. 	Business case to be presented to Cabinet	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portfolio Holder, Community Services and Assets • Corporate Director Community Wellbeing • Programme Manager

8 November 2023 report publication deadline 31 October 2023

Topic and Objectives	Evidence required	Attendees*
<p>Objectives for Local Transport Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review the local authorities vision, objectives and guiding principles for its proposed Local Transport Plan. - Understand the national policy and funding framework underpinning the plan objectives. - Make suggestions to contribute to development of the plan objectives. 	Draft Local Transport Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Head of Highways and Traffic • Senior Transport Planning Officer • WSP
<p>Local Cycling, Walking and Infrastructure Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Scrutinise ongoing work to develop the county's Local Cycling, Walking and Infrastructure Plan. - Review options for current and future funding 	LCWIP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Head of Highways and Traffic • Senior Transport Planning Officer • Phil Jones Associates

10 January 2024 report publication deadline 2 January 2024

Topic and Objectives	Evidence required	Attendees*
Planning – delivery of section 106-funded projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review of the governance arrangements for the delivery of section 106 funds - Scrutinise the effectiveness in identifying and delivering projects 	TBC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning Obligations Manager • Service Director Economy and Growth • Service Director Environment and Highways • Director of Education • Programme Manager

6 March 2024 report publication deadline 27 February 2024

Topic and Objectives	Evidence required	Attendees*
Skills and apprenticeships <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NMITE - apprenticeships 	TBC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alexia Heath • Liz Farr

8 May 2024 report publication deadline 29 April 2024

Topic and Objectives	Evidence required	Attendees*
Herefordshire County Business Improvement District <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review the progress in ensuring the collection of the Improvement District levy - Scrutinise the Business Improvement District work programme arising from the funding. 	TBC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBC

Longlist

Topic and Objectives	Source	Background
Highways and Paths Record	Public question	The Scrutiny Management Board received a question at its previous meeting, asking the committee to scrutinise anomalies in the highways and paths record.

*The Director, Economy and Environment, Portfolio Holder, Roads and Regulatory Services, Portfolio Holder, Economy and Growth and Portfolio Holder, Community Services and Assets, all have a standing invitation to the meeting. It is assumed that the relevant portfolio holder will attend each meeting.